



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,446	05/24/2002	Nicolaas Van Der Blom	NVB1-BQ11	1466
7	7590 12/22/2003		EXAMI	NER
Gordon E Gray III			KERSHTEYN, IGOR	
Price & Gess Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2100 SE Main Street			3745	
Irvine, CA 92	2614		DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(i. (_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/009,446	VAN DER BLOM, NICOLAAS					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Igor Kershteyn	3745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verified to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 40-65 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-49,54-57 and 62</u> is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>40-49,54-57 and 62</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-53 and 63-65</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>50-53 and 63-65</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58-61</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>58-61</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 May 2002</u> is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) objected to l	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the certified copies not received the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies not received the certified copies of the certified copies not received the certified the certified copies not received the certified	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application)					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti- reference was included in the first sentence of the							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/009,446

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 40-65 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

Claims 58-61 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 58, line 1, claim 59, line 1, claim 60, line 1, claim 61, line 1, "wherein" should be –wherein--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 50-53, and 63-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as

Application/Control Number: 10/009,446

Art Unit: 3745

to where broad language is followed by "such as"/"preferably" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance:

Claim 50 recites the broad recitation "a first dimension being at least 2", and the claim also recites "such as at least 3" and then "preferably at least 4 times" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim 52 recites the broad recitation "80-120%" and the claim also recites "such as 85-115%" and then "preferably at least 90-110, such as 95-105, preferably 98-102%" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim 64 recites the broad recitation "80-120%" and the claim also recites "such as 85-115%" and then "preferably at least 90-110, such as 95-105, preferably 98-102%" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim 65 recites the broad recitation "80-120%" and the claim also recites "such as 85-115%" and then "preferably at least 90-110, such as 95-105, preferably 98-102%". which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Regarding claim 51 and 63, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/009,446

Art Unit: 3745

Claim 53 is rejected by virtue of it's dependency on claim 52.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 40-49, 54-57, and 62 are allowed.

Claims 50-53, and 63-65 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 58-61 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3745

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kershteyn whose telephone number is (703) 308 8317. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look, can be reached on (703) 308 1044. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0861.

IK

December 18, 2003

lgor Kershteyn Patent examiner. Art Unit 3745

EDWARD K. LOOK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

12/12/03